

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/727,264	12/03/2003	Bret Alan Gorsline	002566-73 (019000)	4901	
64313 7590 09/19/2008 NIXON PEABODY LLP			EXAMINER		
401 Ninth Stre		FABER, DAVID			
Suite 900 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/727,264	GORSLINE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID FABER	2178		

	DAVID FABER	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of dimension, but control. Including the Control of the								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS	unin the time period set forth in 37 t	SFR 41.37(a).						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b     They raise new issues that would require further core     They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•						
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
(CESAR B PAULA)								
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2178						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit filed on 26 August 2008 will require extensive and further search and consideration to determine if the affidavit is sufficient to overcome the Yasnovsky reference.